FARAD CONSTITUTION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.189/2007

Sukhanand s/o Uttamrao Telgote
...Versus...
State of Maharashtra through Secretary and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

(Shri S.J. Khandalkar, Adv. for petitioner)

CORAM:- A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.
DATED :- 11.09.2007.

Heard Counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner pointed out to me the direction in the judgment of this Court dated 27.04.2005 regarding grant of consequential benefits. He submits that the petitioner ought to have been granted promotion which is also a consequential benefit and since the respondents are not granting promotion, they have committed contempt.

I do not agree with the submission made by

Counsel for petitioner. The petitioner cannot get the benefit of promotion under the order granting consequential benefits. The petitioner will have to seek substantive remedy of approaching appropriate Court.

In view of this, the contempt petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to take such steps as are available in law. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

ssw